

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/909,344	09/909,344 07/19/2001		Donald R. Brewer	DFOSS.0101	9632	
¥	22858	7590	12/17/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	CARSTENS	YEE &	CAHOON, LLP	MISKA	MISKA, VIT W		
	P O BOX 802 DALLAS, T				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	DALLAS, TA 7550				2841		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		CAP					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/909,344	BREWER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Vit W. Miska	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a concept of the second for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the second for the second for the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,						
	nis action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condi	wance except for formal matters, pr						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ón.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	,	a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)					
$_$ a) \square The translation of the foreign language [·						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of							
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
r)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 8, 10 15, 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al in view of Comiskey et al ('578). The Freeman et al patent discloses a timepiece module (col. 1, line 17 and col. 5, lines 17-18) including timer incorporated in microprocessor 40 for displaying stopwatch and current time (col. 5, lines 17-18), driver 42, controller 40 having an output as shown in Fig. 3, bi-stable display 12 (col. 3, lines 32ff), voltage source 14 (battery). The reference further suggests that other displays may be used at col. 3, line 56.
- 2. With respect to the display, the Freeman et al patent does not disclose details of the manner of switching power to the display, other than to indicate that the bi-stable display will maintain an image when power is removed (col. 3, lines 34-35). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the bi-stable display by definition need not be powered continuously. Comiskey et al further describes bi-stable displays which are

Art Unit: 2841

stable for hours or days (col. 2, lines 42-43). One of ordinary skill in the art having both references would thus be taught to power the display of Freeman et al. less than sixty times a minute by using the bi-stable display suggested or any of the other bi-stable displays suggested in Comiskey et al. as a means for conserving power. The specific refresh rate would be selected to correspond with the frequency of data updates to the display.

- 3. With respect to claim 25, a voltage step up circuit is not specifically mentioned in Freeman et al, however, driver circuit 42 "develops the voltages appropriate to activate and deactivate the display pixels" (col. 3, lines 60-62). Thus, one skilled in the art would be familiar with the manner of driving the display elements and provide a step-up circuit for the power source for producing the necessary voltages to activate the bistable display.
- 4. With respect to claim 6, Freeman et al suggests the use of "suspended particle displays" at col. 3, line 56. Thus, an electrophoretic display, being a specific type of such display, would be obvious for use therein and described in detail in Comiskey et al.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al. and Comiskey et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Simoni et al. The latter reference teaches use of a gyricon display as a bi-stable type display (col. 3,

Art Unit: 2841

lines 60ff) for use in a flexible display environment (col. 4, lines 12 and 18). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art having the three references would have a suggestion of using the gyricon display of Simoni et al. in Freeman et al. as a type of suspended particle display suggested by therein.

- 6. Claims 9, 11-14, 20-24, 26-29, 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al and Comiskey et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brewer ('185). Regarding claims 9 and 11-14, and 33-36, the specific display effects are not described in Freeman et al. However, Brewer et al teaches production of various display patterns and effects in a timepiece by varying color and display patterns. The patters are varied at a selected rate (col. 5, line 40) or manually (col. 9, line 6). One of ordinary skilled in the art having these references would thus be taught that the display in Freeman et al may be inverted or color-reversed as described in Brewer et al. With regard to claims 33, an alarm is not specifically mentioned in Brewer et al. However, the patentee suggests at col. 5, lines 38-41 that the display change between two colors at a user selected rate. Thus, a timer using an "alarm" for this purpose would obviously be necessary to activate the display drivers at the appropriate alarm times.
- 7. With respect to claims 20-22, 26-29, 31 and 32, Brewer suggests illuminating the display by means of an EL display (col. 10, line 19). It would thus be obvious for

Application/Control Number: 09/909,344

Art Unit: 2841

one skilled in the art to provide a back light for the display in Freeman et al. as taught by Brewer et al. to facilitate reading the display in the dark. Regarding claims 22-24, Brewer further teaches plural colors for the display which would be obvious for one skilled in the art to incorporate in the Freeman device to provide color variation thereto.

Page 5

- 8. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al and Comiskey et al as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Kamiyama et al. The latter reference teaches the use of solar, mechanical or thermal power source in a timepiece. One of ordinary skill in the art would thus be taught to use any of these conventional power sources as the voltage source in Freeman et al as an obvious choice of available technology.
- 9. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al, Comiskey et al and Simoni et al as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Brewer et al. ('185). Provision of a light source for the gyricon display of Simoni et al would be obvious to one skilled in the art as a means for assisting viewing the display in the dark, as noted above.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,344

Art Unit: 2841

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 703-308-3096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

Vit Miska Primary Examiner

VM 12/11/2003